METAL INDUSTRIES

10.11.2011 Dr. E. Soyer

ENVE420 Industrial Pollution Control

Metal Industries

Metal wastes include wastes from

- Refining mills
- Plating mills
- Washing

Metal Industries

Wastes not only from manufacturing of <u>steel</u>, but from many other materials (<u>copper</u> <u>and aluminium</u>)

Wastes from renewing surfaces on used metallic parts (such as airplane engines prior to their return to service)

Wastes from coating of one metal with another, for protective purposes (plating of silverware or business machines)

Waste compositions

Various concentrations of metallic substances, acids, alkalis, and grease

Characterized by their

Toxicity Relatively low organic matter Greases

Steel-mill wastes





- blast-furnace
- rolling-mill
- pickling

Wastes contain

- Cyanogen compounds
- Phenols
- Ore
- Coke
- Limestone
- Acids
- Alkalis
- Soluble and insoluble oils
- Mill scale



- Evaporation
- Benzol extraction

Treated by

- Distillation
- Sedimentation
- Neutralization
- Skimming
- Flotation
- Aeration

<u>Coal</u> is heated in the absence of air to produce <u>coke</u> and other products

Process involves a gas. Further processing of the gas leads to the major wastes.

Tar and ammonia are its main constituents.

Products from burning of 1 ton of coal

Parameter	Value			
Coke	600-700 kg			
(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	8-12 kg			
Tar	20-45 L			
Gas	305-320 m ³			
Phenol	45-900 g			
Light oil	7.5-11 L			
Naphthalene	225-545 g			

Quench tower \rightarrow hot coke is deluged with water

Coke dust present in quenching water is called 'breeze'



Coking process and three major coke plant wastes

Analyses of by-product coke-plant wastes [1].

	Source of wastes					
Characteristic	Ammonia still	Final cooler*	Pure still	Combined		
BOD, 5-day, 20°C	3974	218	647	53-125†		
Total suspended solids, ppm	356		125	89‡		
Volatile suspended solids, ppm	153		97			
Organic and NH ₃ -N, ppm	281	14	20			
NH ₃ -N, ppm	187		10			
Phenol, ppm	2057	105	72	6.4§		
Cyanide, ppm	110					
pH	8.9		6.6			

*No recirculation.

†Depending on compositing technique.

‡Average of 11 daily 24-hour composites, including coke breeze.

§Single-catch sample.

Waste Treatment

Recovery and removal units with high efficiencies **Phenol**: main contaminant recovered

BOD can be reduced by about 1/3 by the practice of <u>recirculation</u> and <u>reuse</u> of contaminated waters

By-product recovery: for profit in the case of such materials as <u>ammonium</u> <u>sulfate</u>, <u>crude tar</u>, <u>naphthalene</u>, <u>coke dust</u>, <u>coal gas</u>, <u>benzene</u>, <u>toluene</u>, and <u>xylene</u>

Quench water: usually settled to remove coke dust, <u>suparnatant</u> liquor from the settling tanks is <u>reused</u> for quenching

Gravitiy separators: to remove free oil from the wastes from benzol stills

Final cooler water is also recirculated, to reduce the amount of phenol being discharged to waste

<u>Phenol</u> is <u>recover</u>ed primarily to <u>prevent pollution of streams</u> and to <u>avoid the</u> <u>nuisance of taste in water supplies</u>

Phenols may be removed by either <u>conversion into nonodorous compounds</u> or recovery as crude phenol or sodium phenolate, which have some commercial value

Conversion

- <u>Biological</u> (Activated sludge or trickling filtration)
- <u>Physical</u> (ammonia-still wastes used to quench incandescent coke, a process which evaporates the NH₃)



Steam-stripping operation, followed by mixing in a solution of caustic soda and renewing pure phenol with flue gas

The Koppers dephenolization process, using steam, caustic soda, and flue gas.

Lowers the phenol content by 80 to 90 % in ammonia-still wastes

NH, still (1) BOD suspended solids CN NH, ORGANIC +	3974 110 187 281	Final cooler (2) 218 14	Pure still (3) 647 125	
NH₄N PHENOL pH	2057 8.9	105	72	
 Recover NA phenolate with NAOH during steam distillation. Phenol is subsequently obtained by passing flue gas through. 		 Recirculate final cooler water. 	 Settle to remove suspende solids and free oil. 	
 2. Recirculate and reuse the contaminated NH₃ still wastewater for; (a) quenching (b) water sprays for primary and final coolers 		 Conbine the blowdown from this cooler with residual NH₃ still waste and subject both to biological treatment. 	 Send supernatant (which contains some emulsified oils and BOD) to biological treatment if is a very serious consideration in ultinate disposal. 	
 Recover (NH₂ making acid w 			disposal.	
 Biological treater be used to remain and possibly to CN to CO₂ + 1 	tment can ove BOD oxidize			

Wet scrubbers: used for wet scrubbing of blast furnace gas downflow water sprays It cleans the dust from the upflowing gases

It is usually the an intermediate stage between the steps below:



Gas washers or precipitators are periodically cleaned by flushing with water \rightarrow adding to the flow of discolored water

Wet Scrubbers





Venturi type

Microvane type



Multi-venturi type

Dynamic type

Wet Scrubbers



Wet Scrubbers

Packed tower type

Blast furnace wet-scrubber effluent contains <u>flue-dust solids</u>, from washing the gas, composed of:

- iron oxide,
- alumina,
- silica,
- carbon,
- lime, and
- magnesium

The amount of each constituent, in comparison with the total quantity of dust, varies with

- type of ore used in the furnace,
- conditions of the furnace lining,
- quality of the coke used,
- number of furnaces in blast,
- amount of air being blown, and
- regularity and thoroughness of dumping and flushing of dry dust catchers

Flue-dust content of wet-washer effluents

Characteristic	Value or concen- tration	
Suspended solids content Range, ppm* Per cent by weight passing 100-mesh sieve Per cent by weight passing 200-mesh sieve Temperature, °F pH Specific gravity	500–4500 86–99 74–97 100–120 6–8 3–3.8	

*1200 ppm is average at Fairless Steel plant.

70 % Fe_2O_3 (iron oxide)12 % Silicaof the flue dust content

Waste Treatment

Treating the flue dust, <u>sedimentation</u>, followed by thickening the <u>clarifier overflow with lime</u> to encourage flocculation, has been found most effective for removing iron oxide and silica

90-95% of the suspended matter settles readily (one hour period) resulting effluent having less than 50 ppm Suspended Solids



Blast-furnace waste treatment process. (After Henderson and Baffa [33].)

Before applying the final finish to the steel products, the manufacturer must <u>remove</u>

- Dirt
- Grease
- Iron-oxide scale which accumulates on the metal during fabrication



- Fresh acid reacts with the iron salts in time
- As the acid is used it becomes weaker and <u>must be renewed</u>

Amount of <u>waste pickling liquor</u> per <u>ton of steel product</u> depends on the size and type of plant

One factor that increases the volume is that: Since the steel products must be rinsed in water after they leave the pickling tank to remove all trace of acid, the <u>rinse or wash water eventually</u> <u>becomes quite acidic and must also be discarded</u>

The volume of rinse water is 4-20 times that of the actual pickling liquor, although naturally it is far more dilute

Pickling liquor: 0.5 to 2.0 % H₂SO₄ and 15 to 22 % FeSO₄ <u>Wash water:</u> 0.02 to 0.5 % H_2SO_4 and 0.03 to 0.45 % FeSO₄

For most small steel plants, the <u>recovery of by-products from waste</u> <u>pickling liquor is not economically feasible</u> and they <u>neutralize the liquor</u> <u>with lime</u>

Some companies obtain by-products:

```
FeSO_4.H_2O
H_2SO_4
Fe_2(SO_4)_3
Fe^{3+}
Iron powder
Fe_3O_4 for polishing or pigments
Al_2(SO_4)_3
```

Neutralization of pickle-liquor waste with lime is costly, because

- there is no saleable end-product and there is a voluminous,
- slow settling sludge which is difficult to dispose of



Blaw-Knox-Ruthner process for recovery of acid from spent pickle liquor: (a) process flow diagram; (b) chemistry of process. (Courtesy Blaw-Knox Co.)

HCl can be used instead of H₂SO₄

Benefits of HCl pickling are:

- Easier regeneration of acid
- No over pickling
- More flexibility on the line
- Elimination of the secondary scale breaker
- Higher pickling speeds
- 20% reduction in wastewater volume

Disadvantage: Increased cost of HCl over H₂SO₄

Other Metal-Plant Wastes

Processors of several other metals besides steel are significant waste contributors

Among these are: brass, copper, gold, and aluminium plants,

which are similar to steel mills in that impure metal is purified, worked and fabricated into final usable products



New Cyprus Mining Company copper mining and concentrating wastes.



Metal-plating Wastes

After metals have been fabricated into the appropriate sizes and shapes to meet customers' specifications, they are finished to final product requirements

Finishing usually involves <u>stripping</u>, <u>removal of undesirable oxides</u>, <u>cleaning</u> and <u>plating</u>

In plating, the <u>metal to be plated acts as the cathode</u> while the <u>plating metal</u> in solution serves as the anode

Total liquid wastes from plating are not voluminous, but are extremely dangerous because of their <u>toxic content</u>

The most important toxic contaminants are <u>acids</u> and metals, such as <u>chromium</u>, <u>zinc</u>, <u>copper</u>, <u>nickel</u>, <u>tin</u>, and <u>cyanides</u>

<u>Alkaline cleaners</u>, <u>grease</u>, and <u>oil</u> are also found in the wastes

Sulfuric acid anodizing



Phosphoric acid anodizing





Wastes from these three processes are mainly composed of cyanides, chromates, acids, and alkalies

Characteristics of metal-plating wastes

Most **stripping baths** are **acidic** in nature and consist of solutions of <u>sulfuric</u>, <u>nitric</u>, and <u>hydrochloric acid</u>, but **alkaline** baths containing <u>sodium sulfide</u>, <u>cyanide</u>, and <u>hydroxide</u> may also be used

Usually the chemicals in the stripping solution are present in concentrations less than 10%

Cleaning is carried out by <u>organic solvents</u>, <u>pickling</u>, or <u>alkaline cleaning</u> <u>compounds</u>

Organic-emulsion cleaners are petroleum or coal-tar solvents coupled with an emulsifier

Alkaline cleaners consist of sodium hydroxide, ortophosphate, complex phosphates, silicates, carbonates, some organic emulsifiers, and synthetic wetting agents

Characteristics of metal-plating wastes

<u>Cyanide salts</u> are desirable, since they are good oxide solvents and in zinc plating they yield a brighter, less porous, galvanized plate

However, acid zinc sulfate is also being used in plating baths because it is said to conduct the current with less resistance than zinc cyanide

The <u>total volume of wastes from metal-plating plants</u>, usually expressed as gallons per finished number of metallic units, <u>varies even more than the</u> <u>characteristics</u>

Since most plants use excessive chromates for plating, the concentration of chromium in **chromium-plating bath waste** will usually be **several times the concentration of other metals in other baths**

Bath formulas	Metallic + cyanide	Rinse concentration, ppm		
	concentra- tions, ppm	0.5 gph drag-out*	2.5 gph drag-out*	
Nickel 40 oz/gal nickel sulfate 8 oz/gal nickel chloride 6 oz/gal boric acid	82,000 Ni	171 Ni	855 Ni	
Chromium 53 oz/gal chromic açid 0.53 oz/gal sulfuric açid	207,000 Cr	431 Cr	2155 Cr	
Copper (acid) 27 oz/gal copper sulfate 6.5 oz/gal sulfuric acid Copper (cyanide)	51,500 Cu	107 Cu	535 Cu	
3.0 oz/gal copper cyanide 4.5 oz/gal sodium cyanide 2.0 oz/gal sodium carbonate Copper (pyrophosphate)	12,400 Cu 28,000 CN	2.8 Cu 58 CN	14 Cu 290 CN	
4 oz/gal copper (as proprietary mix) 29 oz/gal sodium pyrophosphate 0.4% ammonia (by volume)	30,000 Cu	62 Cu	310 Cu	
Cadmium 3.5 oz/gal cadmium oxide 14.5 oz/gal sodium cyanide Zinc	23,000 Cd 57,700 CN	48 Cd 120 CN	240 Cd 600 CN	
8 oz/gal zinc cyanide 5.6 oz/gal sodium cyanide 10 oz/gal sodium hydroxide Brass	33,800 Zn 48,900 CN	70 Zn 102 CN	350 Zn 510 CN	
4 oz/gal copper cyanide 1.25 oz/gal zinc cyanide 7.5 oz/gal sodium cyanide 4 oz/gal sodium carbonate	21,000 Cu 5,250 Zn 47,500 CN	44 Cu 11 Zn 99 CN	220 Cu 55 Zn 495 CN	
Tin (alkaline) 16 oz/gal sodium stannate 1 oz/gal sodium hydroxide 2 oz/gal sodium acetate Silver (cyanide)	53,000 Sn	110 Sn	550 Sn	
4 oz/gal silver (yanide) 4 oz/gal sodium cyanide 6 oz/gal sodium carbonate	24,600 Ag 21,800 CN	51 Ag 45 CN	255 Ag 225 CN	

Common plating baths



*Drag-out is the amount of solution carried out of the bath by the material being plated and the racks holding the material. Rinse rate is assumed to be 4 gpm.

Flow chart for some common plating baths

Copper plating	Nickel plating	Chrome plating	Zinc plating Electrocleaner (cathodic)	
Electrocleaner (cathodic)	Electrocleaner (cathodic)	Electrocleaner (cathodic)		
 Running rinse →	Electrocleaner (anodic)	Running rinse →	Running rinse →	
 Hydrochloric acid dip (5%)	 Running rinse →	Sulfuric acid dip	5% sulfuric acid dip	
 Running rinse →	5% sulfuric acid dip	Running rinse + spray →	l Running rinse →	
Copper cyanide "strike"	Running rinse →	Chrome solution	Zinc cyanide solution	
 Running rinse →	Bright nickel solution	Recovery rinse	l Running rinse →	
 Running rinse →	 Running rinse →	Mist spray rinse	Spray rinse →	
Copper pyrophosphate solution	Soap dip	Running rinse →	Brightener still dip (HNO ₂)	
Running rinse →	Hot running rinse →	Hot still dip	Running rinse →	
Hot rinse (slow overflow) \rightarrow	Drying oven	Running rinse →	Running rinse →	
Drying oven		Hot rinse (slow overflow) \rightarrow	Hot water dip (slow over- flow) →	
	×.	Drying oven	Drying oven	

*Flow sheets for common types of conveyorized electroplating. (Wastes overflowing to final effluent are indicated by an arrow.)

Plant pH		pH ppm	Fe, ppm	Ni,	Zn, ppm	Chromium, ppm		Cn,
	pH			ppm		+6	Total	ppm
A	3.2	16	11	0	0	0	1.0	6
A	10.4	19	3	0	0	0	0.5	14
B	4.1	58	1.2	0	0	204	246	0.2
õ	2.8	11		0.2		3	7	1.2
Ď	2.0	300	10	0	82	0	0	0.7
E	2.4	35	8			555	612	1.2
Ē	10.7	14	4	19		32	39	2.0
F	10.5	6	2	25	39			10
Ĝ	11.3	18	18	26		36		15

Plating-waste concentrations
The methods used for disposal of waste from plating operations can be divided into two clases:

- (1) Modifications in design and/or operation within the manufacturing process to minimize or eliminate the waste problem
- (2) Installation of a chemical (sometimes physical) treatment plant to destroy or remove toxic and objectionable materials in plating-room effluents

Modifications include

- (1) Installing a gravity-fed, nonoverflowing emergency holding tank for toxic metals and their salts
- (2) Eliminating breakable containers for concentrated material
- (3) Designing special drip pans, spray rinses, and shaking mechanisms
- (4) Reducing spillage, drag-out leak to the floor, or other losses, by curbing the area and discharging these losses to a holding tank
- (5) Using high-pressure fog rinses rather than high volume water washes
- (6) Reclaiming valuable metals from concentrated plating-bath wastes
- (7) Evaporating reclaimed wastes to desired volume and returning to plating bath at rate equal to loss from bath
- (8) Recirculating wet-washer wastes from fume scrubbers

Treatment of plating wastes by chemical and physical means are designed primarily to accomplish three objectives: Removal of

Cyanides Chromium

All other metals, oil and greases

Treatment of cyanides

- (1) Chlorination (gas)
- (2) Hypochlorites
- (3) ClO₂
- (4) O_3 (ozonation)
- (5) Conversion to less toxic cyanide complexes
- (6) Electrolytic oxidation
- (7) Acidification
- (8) Lime-sulfur method
- (9) Ion exchange
- (10) Heating to dryness

Treatment of cyanides is mostly accomplished by <u>alkaline chlorination</u>



Continuous chlorination of cyanide wastes.



Batch chlorination of cyanide wastes.



Chromium-bearing plating wastes are normally segregated from cyanide wastes, since they must be reduced and acidified (to convert the hexavalent chromium to the trivalent stage) before precipitation can occur

Although it is possible to precipitate the chromium directly in the hexavalent form with barium chloride, this method is not widely used

Removal of <u>other metals</u> such as Cu, Zn, Ni, Fe, and greases is usually accomplished by <u>neutralization followed by chemical</u> <u>precipitation</u>

<u>Sufficient alkalinity</u>, usually Ca(OH)₂ or NaOH, is added prior to chlorination to bring the waste to a <u>pH of about 11</u>, thus ensuring the complete oxidation of cyanide

$$2NaCN + 5Cl_2 + 12NaOH$$

$$\rightarrow N_2 + 2Na_2CO_3 + 10NaCl + 6H_2O_3$$

<u>Violent agitation</u> must accompany the chlorination, to <u>prevent the cyanide</u> <u>salt of sodium or calcium from precipitating out prior to oxidation</u>

Presence of <u>other metals</u> may also interfere with cyanide oxidation, because of the <u>formation of metal cyanide complexes</u>

Extended chlorination may be necessary under these conditions. Sometimes a full 24-hour chlorination period may be required for complete oxidation

Chromium reduction and precipitation:

Chromium-plating-waste treatment by reduction and precipitation involves reducing the hexavalent chromium (Cr^{6+} as chromic acid or chromates) in the waste to the trivalent stage (Cr^{3+}) with reducing agents such as <u>FeSO₄</u>, <u>SO₂</u>, or <u>NaHSO₃</u>

Sufficient free mineral acid should also be present to combine with the reduced chromium and to maintain a residual pH of 3.0 or lower, which will ensure complete reaction

When the <u>reduction</u> is complete, an alkali (usually lime slurry) is added, to <u>neutralize</u> the acid and <u>precipitate the trivalent chromium</u>

$$\begin{array}{l} H_{2}Cr_{2}O_{7} + 6FeSO_{4} + 6H_{2}SO_{4} \\ \rightarrow Cr_{2}(SO_{4})_{3} + 3Fe_{2}(SO_{4})_{3} + 7H_{2}O, \\ Cr_{2}(SO_{4})_{3} + 3Ca(OH)_{2} \rightarrow 2Cr(OH)_{3} + 3CaSO_{4}, \\ Fe_{2}(SO_{4})_{3} + 3Ca(OH)_{2} \rightarrow 2Fe(OH)_{3} + 3CaSO_{4}. \end{array}$$



Reduction and precipitation of chromium.

Neutralization

Treatment of other metal, oil, and grease-bearing wastes by <u>neutralization</u> and <u>precipitation</u> usually involves <u>recombining the wastes</u> with previously <u>oxidized cyanide</u> and <u>reduced chromium wastes</u> for subsequent and final treatment

If the combined waste is acid, an alkali (usually 5 to 10% lime slurry) is added to neutralize and precipitate the metals

The floc produced is large and quite heavy, and hence the velocity of flow is decreased after adequate flocculation has occured

Waste is then allowed to settle. Sludge is removed and usually lagooned, since this is the most economical treatment for the slow-drying metal sludges



(a) Acid neutralization

(b) Continuous acid neutralization

(b) Batch acid neutralization

Recovery

Recovery practices are mainly those involving <u>ion exchange</u> and <u>evaporation</u>

Use of ion exchanger is only an application of water-softening methods, and its best application is in the treatment of rinse water following plating operations, so that little or no foreign contamination other than the recoverable metal is present

Rinse water is passed through beds of cationic and anionic resins selected for the particular application, and the deionized water is recycled through the rinse tank

Recovery

Ion beds must be regenerated periodically. The regenerating solution containing the concentrated metal salts may require further treatment prior to its reuse in plating operations

Chrome, nickel, and copper acid-type plating solutions may be reclaimed from the rinse tank by evaporation, the concentrated solution is then returned to the plating systems





Overall Process of a Metal Finishing Plant

Rinse Water Recycling



1 g/L Ca²⁺, 1 g/L Na⁺, 5 ppm Zn²⁺, 5 ppm Ni²⁺, 2 ppm Cu²⁺, pH: 7, 20 BV



Chromic acid recovery by vacuum evaporation



114 m³/d of a waste containing 49 mg/L Cr⁶⁺, 11 mg/L Cu, and 12 mg/L Zn is to be treated daily by using SO₂.

Compute the chemical requirements and the daily sludge production

(assume the waste contains 5 mg/L O_2)

Eckenfelder, W.W. (2000) Industrial Water Pollution Control, McGraw-Hill

EXAMPLE 4.2. 30,000 gal/d (114 m³/d) of a waste containing 49 mg/l Cr⁶⁺, 11 mg/l Cu, and 12 mg/l Zn is to be treated daily by using SO₂. Compute the chemical requirements and the daily sludge production. (Assume the waste contains 5 mg/l O₂.)

Solution.

(a) SO₂ requirements are as follows. For Cr^{6+}

$$1.85 \left(\frac{\text{mg SO}_2}{\text{mg Cr}^{6+}}\right) \times 49 (\text{mg Cr}^{6+}/1) \times 8.34 \left(\frac{\text{lb/million gal}}{\text{mg/l}}\right)$$

 \times 0.03 million gal/d = 22.7 lb/d (10.3 kg/d)

and for O₂, where 1 part of O₂ requires 4 parts of SO₂:

$$4\left(\frac{\text{mg SO}_2}{\text{mg O}_2}\right) \times 5(\text{mg O}_2/1) \times 8.34 \times 0.03 = 5.0 \text{ lb/d} \qquad (2.3 \text{ kg/d})$$

Total = 27.7 lb/d (12.6 kg/d)

(b) Lime requirements are as follows. For Cr^{3+} :

$$2.38 \left(\frac{\text{mg lime}}{\text{mg Cr}^{3+}}\right) \times 49 \times 8.34 \times 0.03 = 29.2 \text{ lb/d} \qquad (13.3 \text{ kg/d})$$

and for Cu and Zn (each part of Cu and Zn requiring 1.3 parts of 90 percent lime for precipitation):

$$1.3\left(\frac{\text{mg lime}}{\text{mg Cu or Zn}}\right) \times 23(\text{mg Cu and Zn/l}) \times 8.34 \times 0.03 = 7.5 \text{ lb/d} \qquad (3.4 \text{ kg/d})$$
$$\text{Total} = 36.7 \text{ lb/d} \qquad (16.7 \text{ kg/d})$$

(c) Sludge production is:

$$1.98 \left(\frac{\text{mg Cr(OH)}_3}{\text{mg Cr}^{6+}}\right) \times 49 \times 8.34 \times 0.03 = 24.3 \text{ lb/d Cr(OH)}_3 \qquad (11 \text{ kg/d})$$
$$1.53 \left(\frac{\text{mg sludge}}{\text{mg Cu or Zn}}\right) \times 23 \times 8.34 \times 0.03$$
$$= 8.8 \text{ lb/d Cu(OH_2) and Zn(OH_2)} \qquad (4 \text{ kg/d})$$
$$\text{Total} = 33.1 \text{ lb/d} \qquad (15 \text{ kg/d})$$

If the sludge concentrates to 1.5 percent by weight, the volume that will require disposal each day can be calculated as follows:

 $\frac{33.1 \text{ lb/d}}{0.015 \text{ lb solids/lb sludge} \times 8.34 \text{ lb/gal}} = 265 \text{ gal/d} \qquad (1.0 \text{ m}^3/\text{d})$

It should be noted that some of the copper and zinc will be soluble unless the final pH after lime addition exceeds pH 9.0.

A general plating plant operates 16 h/d, 5 d/week. The total discharge of rinsewaters has the following characteristics:

Copper22 mg/L as CuZinc10 mg/L as ZnNickel15 mg/L as NiChromium130 mg/L as CrO3

Rate of flow is 0.19 m³/min, and in-plant separation is not feasible. Design an exchanger system to include water and chromium recovery.

Operating characteristics of the ion exchanger:

	Exchanger	
	Cation	Anion
Regenerant	H_2SO_4	NaOH
Dosage, kg/m ³	192	76.8
Concentration, %	5	10
Flow rate, m ³ /min.m ³	0.067	
Operating capacity	1.5 eg/L	60.8 kg CrO ₃ /m ³

Eckenfelder, W.W. (2000) Industrial Water Pollution Control, McGraw-Hill

EXAMPLE 9.1. A general plating plant operates 16 h/d, 5 d/week. The total discharge of rinsewaters has the following characteristics:

Copper	22mg/l as Cu		
Zinc	10 mg/l as Zn		
Nickel	15 mg/l as Ni		
Chromium	130 mg/l as CrO ₃		

The rate of flow is 50 gal/min ($0.19 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$), and in-plant separation is not feasible. Design an exchanger system to include water and chromium recovery. The operating characteristics of the cation exchanger are given in Table 9.3.

Solution.

Anion exchanger

In the anion exchanger, CrO₃ is exchanged for OH.

 $130 \text{ mg/l} \times 50 \text{ gal/min} \times 60 \text{ min/h} \times 16 \text{ h/d} \times 8.34$

$$\times 10^{-6} \ \frac{\text{lb/gal}}{\text{mg/l}} = 52 \text{ lb/d}$$

For a resin capacity of 3.8 lb CrO_3/ft_3 at a regeneration level of 4.8 lb NaOH/ft³ and a daily regeneration,

Volume of resin
$$=$$
 $\frac{52}{3.8} = 13.7 \text{ ft}^3$ (0.39 m³)

Treatment flow rate is 3.6 gal(min \cdot ft³) [0.48 m³/(min \cdot m³)], for a resin depth of 30 in (0.76 m), 2 units, 2 ft (0.61 in) diameter by 30 in (0.76 in) deep, plus 50 percent for bed expansion.

Regeneration

NaOH required = $4.8 \times 13.7 = 66 \text{ lb/reg}$ (30 kg/reg)

	Exchanger		
	Cation	Anion	
Regenerant	H_2SO_4	NaOH	
Dosage, lb/ft ³	12	4.8	
Concentration, %	5	10	
Flow rate	$0.5 \text{ gal}/(\text{min} \cdot \text{ft}^3)$		
Operating capacity	1.5 equiv wt/l $3.8 \text{ lb } \text{CrO}_3/\text{f}$		

TABLE 9.3

Note:

 $lb/ft^3 = 16.0 \text{ kg/m}^3$ gal/(min · ft³) = 0.134 m ³/(min · m³)

Regenerant tank volume = 66 lb NaOH
$$\times \frac{1}{0.10} \times \frac{1}{9.6}$$
 lb reg/gal
= 68 gal (0.26 m³)
Rinse requirement at 100 gal/ft³ = 1370 gal (5.2 m³)

Cation exchanger The cations to be removed are:

Zn
$$\frac{10 \text{ mg/l}}{32.7 \text{ mg/meq}} = 0.306 \text{ meq/l}$$

Cu $\frac{22 \text{ mg/l}}{31.8 \text{ mg/meq}} = 0.693 \text{ meq/l}$
Ni $\frac{15 \text{ mg/l}}{29.4 \text{ mg/meq}} = 0.511 \text{ meq/l}$

In the cation unit, Cu, Zn, and Ni are exchanged for H⁺.

The total daily equivalents are

 $(0.306 + 0.693 + 0.511) \times 10^{-3} \times 50 \times 60 \times 16 \times 3.78 \text{ l/gal} = 273 \text{ equiv wt/d}$

For an operating capacity of 1.5 equiv wt/l at a regeneration level of 12 lb H_2SO_4/ft^3 (5 percent), the resin required for a 2-d regeneration is

 $\frac{273 \times 2}{1.5 \times 28.3 \, 1/\text{ft}^3} = 13.0 \, \text{ft}^3 \, \text{resin} \qquad (0.36 \, \text{m}^3)$

The treatment flow rate is 3.8 gal/(min \cdot ft³) [0.51 m³/(min \cdot m³)]. Use 2 units, 2 ft (0.61 m) diameter by 30 in (0.76 m) deep plus 50 percent for bed expansion.

Regeneration Using 5 percent H_2SO_4 at 12 lb/ft³, H_2SO_4 required is

$$12 \times 13 = 156 \,\text{lb}$$
 (71 kg)
Regenerant tank = $156 \times \frac{1}{0.05} \times \frac{1}{1.0383 \times 8.34 \,\text{lb/gal}}$
= 360 gal (1.36 m³)

where 1.0383 is the specific gravity of 5% H_2SO_4 .

Rinse requirement = $120 \text{ gal/ft}^3 \times 13 \text{ ft}^3 = 1560 \text{ gal}$ (5.9 m³) Anion regenerant capacity for chromium recovery:

Sodium =
$$\frac{66 \text{ lb NaOH} \times 453 \text{ g/lb}}{40 \text{ g/equiv wt}} = 750 \text{ equiv wt}$$

If it is assumed that 70 percent of anion exchanger regenerant will pass through the cation unit, 525 equiv wt must be exchanged, which is compatible with the capacity of the cation units.

A wastewater has a flow of 10 000 L/d containing 130 mg/L CN^{-}

Compute the Cl₂ requirement for the alkaline chlorination of this wastewater for CN⁻ removal

(a) Consider oxidation to CNO⁻
(b) Consider complete oxidation to HCO₃⁻ and N₂

Eckenfelder, W.W. (2000) Industrial Water Pollution Control, McGraw-Hill

EXAMPLE 10.2. How much Cl_2 must be supplied to oxidize 130 mg/l cyanide (as CN) given a flow of 10,000 l/d?

- (a) Consider oxidation to CNO⁻.
- (b) Consider complete oxidation to HCO_3^- and N_2 .

First, consider that Cl₂ reacts with water to produce HOCl and HCl:

$$Cl_2 + H_2O \longleftrightarrow HOCl + HCl$$

Therefore, only half of our chlorine is effective. Next, all calculations must be done on a molar basis, or molecular weight of CN = 12 + 14 = 26 g/mole. Then

$$\frac{130 \text{ mg/l cyanide}}{(26 \text{ g/mole})(1000 \text{ mg/g})} = 5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$$

Solution.

(a) Combine Reactions 1 and 2 [Eqs. (10.10a) and (10.10b)] to give an overall reaction of CN⁻ to CNO⁻:

Reaction 1 + Reaction 2 = $CN^- + OCL^- \rightarrow CNO^- + Cl^-$

Therefore, 1 mole of OCL⁻ is required for each CN⁻:

 $5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ MCN}^{-} \left(\frac{1 \text{ mole OCl}^{-}}{1 \text{ mole CN}^{-}} \right) = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M OCl}^{-} \text{ required}$

 $= \frac{\text{stoichiometric dosage}}{5 \times 10^{-3} \,\text{M Cl}_2 \,\text{required}}$

Finally, for a 10,000 l/d flow:

 $(5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mole Cl}_2/1)(10,000 \text{ l/d}) = 50 \text{ moles Cl}_2/\text{d}$

then $Cl_2 = 71$ g/mole, or

$$\frac{(50 \text{ moles } \text{Cl}_2/\text{d})(71 \text{ g/mole})}{1000 \text{ g/kg}} = 3.55 \text{ kg } \text{Cl}_2/\text{d}$$

and, since dosage is 1 to 2 times stoichiometric, depending on the metal complexed with CN^- (see Table 10.5), the actual required dose = 3.55 to 7.10 kg Cl_2/d . (b) Consider Reaction 3 [Eq. (10.10c)]:

 $ZCNO^- + 3HOCl \rightarrow 2HCO_3^- + N_2 + 3Cl^- + H^+$

Note that 3 moles HOCl produced per 2 moles CNO⁻ and 1 mole CNO⁻ produced per mole CN⁻ are initially present (100 percent conversion). Therefore,

$$5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ MCNO}^{-} = \left(\frac{3 \text{ moles HOCl}}{2 \text{ moles CNO}^{-}}\right) (10,000 \text{ l/d}) \left(\frac{71 \text{ g/mole}}{1000 \text{ g/kg}}\right) = 5.33 \text{ kg Cl}_2/d$$

and the total requirement is step 1 + step 2, or

 $Cl_2 = (3.55 \text{ to } 7.10 \text{ kg/d}) + 5.33 \text{ kg/d} = 8.88 \text{ to } 12.43 \text{ kg } Cl_2/d$