# CSE 817 Fall 2016 Assignment \#1 

Due: October $24^{\text {th }}$, 2016, 14:30

## 1 2x2 Normal-Form Game

Consider the following game:

|  |  | Player 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | D |
| Player 1 | A | $-16,0$ | 12,12 |
|  | B | 8,8 | $0,-16$ |

Where the first number in each square is the payoff of player 1 and the second number is player 2's payoff.

1. [ $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{~ p t s}]$ Find all Pareto optimal pure strategy profiles.
2. [ $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{p t s}]$ Find the pure strategy Nash equilibria.
3. [ $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{p t s}$ ] Which of the above equilibria do you prefer? Suppose player 2 has decided to play according to one of the equilibria from question 2 (but you do not know which.) What would you play as player 1?
4. [ $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{p t s}]$ Find all mixed-strategy Nash equilibria.

## 2 Nash Equilibrium in 3-Player Games

|  | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 0,1,0 | 3, 1,2 |
| $B$ | 0,3,1 | 2,3,1 |
| C | 2,3,0 | 3,2,1 |


|  | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3,1,1 | 2,2,3 |
| $B$ | 1,2,3 | 2,3,2 |
| C | 0,2,1 | 3,2,2 |

Figure 1: A three-player normal form game. Player one chooses the row, player two chooses the column. Player three's choice of $L$ or $R$ corresponds to the left and right tables.

1. [ $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{p t s}]$ List all of the pure-strategy Nash equilibria of the game in Figure 1.
2. [ $\mathbf{9} \mathbf{p t s}$ ] Characterize the set of Nash equilibria in which player two does not play a pure strategy.

## 3 Bertrand Duopoly

Two firms produce identical goods, with a production cost of $c$ per unit. Each firm sets a nonnegative price $\left(p_{1}\right.$ and $\left.p_{2}\right)$. All consumers buy from the firm with the lower price, if $p_{i} \neq p_{j}$. Half of the consumers buy from each firm if $p_{i}=p_{j}$. $D$ is the total demand.

Profit of firm $i$ is:

- 0 if $p_{i}>p_{j}$ (no one buys from firm $i$ );
- $D\left(p_{i}-c\right) / 2$ if $p_{i}=p_{j}$ (half of customers buy from firm $i$ );
- $D\left(p_{i}-c\right)$ if $p_{i}<p_{j}$ (all customers buy from firm $i$ ).

1. [6 pts] Identify a strictly dominated strategy in this game, and explain why the strategy is strictly dominated.
2. [6 pts] Identify a weakly dominated strategy in this game that is not strictly dominated, and explain why the strategy is only weakly dominated.
3. [8 pts] Find a Nash equilibrium in this game.

## $4 \quad n$ player game

In a college there are $n$ students. They are simultaneously sending data over the colleges data network. Let $x_{i} \geq 0$ be the size of data sent by student $i$. Each student $i$ chooses $x_{i}$, simultaneously. The speed of network is inversely proportional to the total size of the data, so that it takes $x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}$ minutes to send the message. The payoff of student $i$ is $u_{i}\left(x_{i}, x_{-i}\right)=x_{i}-x_{i}\left(x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}\right)$.

1. [8 pts] Find the pure-strategy Nash equilibrium of this game, and compute the equilibrium payoffs. (Hint: First take derivative to find best response for a single player, then apply symmetry, $x_{i}=x$.)

## 5 Linear Programming \& Nash Equilibrium

Consider the following game:

|  | $D$ | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 3,1 | 1,2 |
| $B$ | 0,3 | 3,1 |
| $C$ | 2,3 | 2,1 |
|  |  |  |

1. [8 points] Construct the linear program that the support enumeration method would use to find a full-support Nash equilibrium of this game (i.e., an equilibrium where every action is played with positive probability).
2. [5 points] Show that this game has no full-support Nash equilibrium, by showing that your linear program is infeasible.

## 6 Iterated Elimination

1. [3 points] What strategies survive iterated elimination of strictly-dominated strategies in this normal-form game?

|  | $L$ | $C$ | $R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $U$ | 2,0 | 1,1 | 4,2 |
| $M$ | 3,4 | 1,2 | 2,3 |
| $D$ | 1,3 | 0,2 | 3,0 |
|  |  |  |  |

2. [7 points] What are the pure strategy Nash equilibria of the game? Find a mixed-strategy NE.
3. [7 points] Prove that iterated elimination of strictly-dominated actions never removes an action that is in the support of any mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium.
4. [2 points] Give an example of a game where no action can be eliminated by iterated elimination of strictly-dominated actions.
5. [8 points] Explain why the time complexity of iterated elimination of strictly-dominated actions is $O\left(m^{n+2} n^{2}\right)$ for $n$ players, each with $m$ actions.

## 7 Correlated Equilibrium

Consider the following two-player game:

|  |  | Player 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | D | E | F |
| Player 1 | A | 9,10 | 2,5 | 3,4 |
|  | B | 1,6 | 17,9 | 7,5 |
|  | C | 0,3 | 2,4 | 6,13 |

1. [12 pts] Find a correlated equilibrium of the game where player 1 achieves an expected payoff of 14 . As randomizing devices, you have three publicly observable, fair coins: a 10 Kr , a 25 Kr and a 50 Kr coin. You may not use any other randomizing devices.
