Monte Carlo Methods Week #5

Introduction

• Monte Carlo (MC) Methods

- do not assume complete knowledge of environment (unlike DP methods which assume a perfect environment model)
- require experience
 - sample sequences of states, actions, and rewards from interaction (on-line or simulated) with an environment
- <u>on-line experience</u> exposes agent to learning in an unknown environment whereas <u>simulated experience</u> does require a model, but the model needs only generate sample transitions and not the complete probability distribution.
- In *MC* methods, the *unknown value functions* are computed from *averaging sample returns*.

Set up

- We assume the environment is a finite MDP.
- Finite set of states: *S*
- Finite set of actions: A(s)
- Dynamics of environment given by:

– a set of transition probabilities, and

-
$$P_{s_is_j}^a = P(s_{t+1} = s_j | s_t = s_i, a_t = a)$$
 unknown
- the expected immediate reward
- $R_{s_is_j}^a = E(r_{t+1} | s_t = s_i, a_t = a, s_{t+1} = s_j)$ unknown
for all $s_i \in S$ $s_i \in S^+$ and $a \in A(s)$

• for all $s_i \in S$, $s_j \in S^+$ and $a \in A(s)$.

MC Policy Evaluation

- Value of a state: expected cumulative future discounted reward
- To estimate *state value* from *experience*, *returns observed after visits to that state should be averaged*.
- *Every-visit MC method*: method of estimating $V^{\pi}(s)$ as the average of the returns following all visits to the state *s* in a set of episodes.
- *First-visit MC method*: method of estimating $V^{\pi}(s)$ as the average of the returns following first visits to the state *s* in a set of episodes.

First-visit MC Method

- Initialize:
- π : the policy to be evaluated
- V: an arbitrary state-value function
- Returns(s): an empty list, for all s∈S
- *Repeat forever:*
 - Generate an episode using π
 - For each state s appearing in the episode:
 - $R \leftarrow$ return following the first occurrence of s
 - Append R to Returns(s)
 - $V(s) \leftarrow average(Returns(s))$

MC Estimation of Action Values

- For environments without a model, state values alone are not sufficient to determine a policy. A *one-step look-ahead* operation is enough to find the action that leads to the best combination of reward and next state.
- We need to estimate the value of the state-action pair, Q^π(s,a), the expected return when starting in state s, taking action a.
- *Problem*: many state-action pairs may never be visited.
 - We need to *maintain exploration*.
 - *Two assumptions* to solve the problem:
 - Exploring starts: each state-action pair has a non-zero probability.
 - Infinite number of episodes: all state-action pairs are visited infinitely many times

Generalized Policy Iteration in MC Methods



where π^* and Q^* denote optimal policy and action values, respectively.

Policy Iteration: MC Version

$$\pi_0 \xrightarrow{E} Q^{\pi_0} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_1 \xrightarrow{E} Q^{\pi_1} \xrightarrow{I} \cdots \pi_{opt} \xrightarrow{E} Q^{\pi_{opt}}$$

In the above diagram denoting the policy iteration the transition *E* and *I* stand for the policy evaluation and policy improvement phases of the policy iteration steps in respective order [1]. For any *Q*, the corresponding *greedy policy* selects an *action with* the *maximal Q-value* as in the following:

$$\pi(s) = \arg\max_{a} Q(s,a)$$

The figure is an exact replication of the figure on page 97 of [1].

Removing the Second Assumption...

- To remove the assumption of *infinite number of episodes* we again use the same idea of *value iteration* (i.e., instead of many just a single iteration of policy evaluation between each policy improvement step) as we did during the discussion of dynamic programming.
- An extreme version is the *in-place value iteration* where at each iteration only one state is updated.

Monte Carlo ES Method

- Initialize π(s) and Q(s,a) arbitrarily for all s∈S, a∈A(s):
- *Returns(s,a)* ← *empty list*
- Repeat forever:
 - Generate an episode using exploring starts and π
 - For each pair (s,a) appearing in the episode:
 - *R* ← return following the first occurrence of *s*,*a*
 - Append R to Returns(s,a)
 - Q(s,a) ← average(Returns(s,a))
 - For each s in the episode: $\pi(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_{a} Q(s,a)$

Removing the first Assumption...

• To remove the assumption of *exploring starts* the agent needs to continually select actions.

Two types of MC control to select actions are:
 on-policy MC control, and

– off-policy MC control.

On-Policy MC Control

• In *on-policy* control, the policy we use to select actions (behavioral policy) is the same as the policy we use to estimate action values (estimation policy);

– i.e., behavioral policy ≡ estimation policy

- Idea is that of GPI.
- Any policy we discussed in the second week may be used in on-policy MC control.
- Without ES, the policy moves to an ε -greedy one.

On-Policy MC Control Algorithm

- Initialize for all s∈S, a∈A(s):
- $Q(s,a) \leftarrow arbitrary$
- *Returns(s,a)* ← *empty list*
- *Repeat forever:*
 - Generate an episode using π
 - For each pair (s,a) appearing in the episode:
 - *R* ← return following the first occurrence of *s*,*a*
 - Append R to Returns(s,a)
 - Q(s,a) ← average(Returns(s,a))
 - For each s in the episode:

$$a^{*} \leftarrow \arg \max_{a} Q(s, a)$$

$$\pi(s, a) \leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon / |A(s)| & \text{if } a = a^{*} \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{|A(s)|} & \text{if } a \neq a^{*} \end{cases}$$

Off-Policy MC Control

- In *off-policy* control, the policy we use to select actions (*behavior policy*), π_b, and the policy we use to estimate action values (*estimation policy*), π_e, are separated.
- The question here is, how the state value estimates will be correctly updated using the estimation policy to reflect the selections of the behavior policy.

Off-Policy MC Control... (2)

- First requirement to work this out is that actions taken in π_e are also taken in π_b .
- Second, the rates of possibility of occurrence of any sequence between π_e and π_b starting from a specific visit to state *s* should be balanced.
- That is, consider i^{th} first visit to state *s* and the complete sequence of states and actions following that visit. We define $p_{i,e}(s)$ and $p_{i,b}(s)$ as the probability of occurrence of the sequence mentioned above given policies π_e and π_b , respectively. Further, let $R_i(s)$ denote the corresponding observed return from state *s*, and $T_i(s)$ be the time of termination of the i^{th} episode involving state *s*. Then,

$$p_i(s_t) = \prod_{k=t}^{T_i(s)-1} \pi(s_k, a_k) P_{s_k s_{k+1}}^{a_k}$$

Off-Policy MC Control... (3)

Assigning weights to each return by its relative probability of occurrence under π_e and π_b, p_{i,e}(s)/p_{i,b}(s), the value estimate can be obtained by

$$V(s) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \frac{p_{i,e}(s)}{p_{i,b}(s)} R_i(s)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_s} \frac{p_{i,e}(s)}{p_{i,b}(s)}}$$

• where *n_s* is the number of returns observed from state *s*.

Off-Policy MC Control... (4)

• Looking below at the ratio of the probability we see that it depends upon the policies and not at all on the environment's dynamics.



Off-Policy MC Control Algorithm

- Initialize for all seS, aeA(s):
- $Q(s,a) \leftarrow arbitrary$
- *N(s,a)* ← 0; //*Numerator*
- $D(s,a) \leftarrow 0$; //Denominator of Q(s,a)
- Repeat forever:
 - Select a policy π_e and use it to generate an episode

• $S_{0,} a_{0,} r_{1,} s_{1,} a_{1,} r_{2,} s_{2,} a_{2,} r_{3,} \dots, s_{T-1}, a_{T-1}, r_{T}, s_{T}$

 $-\tau \leftarrow latest time at which a_{\tau} \neq \pi_b(s_{\tau})$

//...continues on the next page

Off-Policy MC Control Algorithm

- For each pair (s,a) appearing in the episode at time τ or later:
 - $t \leftarrow \text{the time of first occurrence of } s, a \text{ such that } t \ge \tau$ $w \leftarrow \prod_{k=t+1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\pi_b(s_k, a_k)}$ $N(s, a) \leftarrow N(s, a) + wR_t$ $D(s, a) \leftarrow D(s, a) + w$ $Q(s, a) \leftarrow \frac{N(s, a)}{D(s, a)}$
- For each $s \in S$:

$$\pi(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_{a} Q(s,a)$$

References

• [1] Sutton, R. S. and Barto A. G., *"Reinforcement Learning: An introduction,"* MIT Press, 1998